

# The Chicago Daily Tribune.

VOLUME 28.

CHICAGO, THURSDAY, JUNE 10, 1875.

NUMBER 290.

**CASHMERE GARMENTS.**  
**Field, Leiter & Co.**  
STATE & WASHINGTON-ST.,  
HAVE RECEIVED A LATE IN-  
VOICE OF  
**Imported Cashmere  
GARMENTS,**

Which they are offering at the very  
attractive prices of

\$5, \$6, & \$8,  
Formerly sold at  
\$10, \$12, and \$14.  
Early examination is invited.

**FINANCIAL.**

**The First National Gold Bank**  
OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

PAID UP CAPITAL.....\$200,000 GOLD.  
President.....GEO. F. HOOPER.  
Vice President.....SAMUEL HORT.  
Chairman.....R. C. WOOLWORTH.

**CORRESPONDENTS.**

LONDON.—BADING BROTHERS & CO.—  
CHARTERED MERCANTILE BANK  
OF ENGLAND, SCOTLAND AND IRELAND.  
PARIS.—MONTGOMERY & CO.  
DUBLIN.—PROVINCIAL BANK OF IRELAND.  
HAMBURG.—HESS & NEWMAN & CO.  
BOSTON.—BLACKSTONE NATIONAL BANK,  
CHICAGO.—FIRST NATIONAL BANK.

Collections intended to end prompt returns made at the  
lowest market rates of Exchange.

**Loans on Real Estate.**

We are prepared to make loans on good  
property in Chicago, and well established  
suburbs, in sums to suit (above \$2,000), for  
a term of years, at current rates.

**BAIRD & BRADLEY,**

60 LA SALLE-ST.

**MONEY TO LOAN**

On property in Chicago and vicinity. Large  
sums 9 per cent. MEAD & CO.,  
155 LaSalle-st.

**FIRM CHANGES.**

**DISCUSSION.**

The firm of J. Evans & Webb will this day be  
disolved by mutual consent.

J. EVANS & WEBB.

Chicago, June 1, 1875.

**COPARTNERSHIP.**

The undersigned have this day formed a partnership  
for the purpose of buying and selling Real Estate on com-  
mon lots in the city of Chicago, and will be known as  
Jacob Will & George A. Stevens.

Chicago, June 1, 1875.

GEO. A. STEVENS.

Having sold out my interest to the above firm, I che-  
rfully commend them to my friends.

J. J. HENRY WILL.

**OCEAN NAVIGATION.**

National Line of Steamships.

NEW YORK TO QUEENSTOWN—LIVERPOOL—  
QUEEN, 400 tons, Saturday, June 10, at 2 P.M.  
QUEEN, 400 tons, Saturday, June 10, at 2 P.M.  
QUEEN, 400 tons, Saturday, June 10, at 2 P.M.

FOR LONDON DIRECT.

ITALY—TURKEY—TUNISIA—TURKEY—  
Cabin passage, \$75; steerage, \$30.

Steamship tickets from Liverpool at the lowest  
possible rates.

Northumbrian Court and Randolphs—(opposite new  
Streeter's House), Chicago.

**AMERICAN LINE.**

**REDUCED RATES**

TO AND FROM

**LIVERPOOL, QUEENSTOWN,**

And all ports in Great Britain and the Continent.

J. H. MILNE, Western Agent,  
13 LaSalle-st., corner Madison.

**CUNARD MAIL LINE.**

Selling This Week to and from

**BRITISH PORTS.**

**LOWEST RATES.**

Apply at Company's Office, northern corner Clark and

Broadway—Chicago.

**ONLY DIRECT LINE TO FRANCE.**

The General Transatlantic Company's Mail Steamships

Arrive and Depart Saturday, June 12.

FRANCE—TURKEY—TUNISIA—TURKEY—  
ITALY—TURKEY—TUNISIA—TURKEY—  
CABIN PASSENGERS \$25; STEERAGE \$12.

Reduced rates for very long terms, say, April to

June 10, at 9:30.

Mr. GORE & CO.,  
16 and 17 Washington-st.

**FURNITURE.**

Will sell on

10 o'clock, May 15,

1875, at the

best and

Furniture

Ware, Trunks, Mat-

ches, Rocking-chairs,

etc., etc.

RA & CO., Auctione-

rs.

**AT AUCTION.**

shall offer, Chamber-

men, of all kinds, Car-

riages, Wagons, etc.

SEY,

THURSDAY,

in the city of New

Orleans, the 15th inst.

New Orleans, Louisiana.

and the same.

Field, Leiter & Co.

16 and 17 Washington-st.

**SPECIALES.**

**SIGHT IS PRECIOUS.**

BRASSILLIAN PRECIEUSE COMPAGNIE  
SOCIETE D'OPTIQUE, PARIS, FRANCE.

Joint Committee on Public Buildings and Hospitals.

**STORE FOR RENT**

In the new Commission Market, No. 204

Jackson-st., April 15, 1875.

13 Chamber of Commerce.

**HOUSEHOLD GOODS.**

**BARGAINS.**

Householders and Dealers can do better at our private

HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE.

Then at the Auction.

E. DINGER & CO., Auctioneers,  
16 and 17 Washington-st.

## SHIRTS!

To order, of the best fabrics in use. Full lined, in stock of our own manufacture. We are prepared to make Shirts to order in eight hours, when necessary.

**WILSON BROS.,**  
MEN'S FURNISHERS,  
67 & 69 Washington-st., Chicago.  
Pike's Opera House, Cincinnati.

**PIANOS.**

**STEINWAY UPRIGHT PIANOS**

With Brief Allusions to the Glaring Inconsistencies Therein.

**Counsel Promises that He Will Endeavor to Show that Beecher Is Not Quite Sinless.**

And that the "Jury of the Vicinage"

Has Already Condemned Beecher.

REMOVED TO

101 CLARK-ST.,

CORNER WASHINGTON.

**REMOVAL.**

WE HAVE REMOVED TO

63 AND 65 WASHINGTON-ST.,

The Old Opera House, Cincinnati.

**HADLEY BROS. & CO.,**  
BOOKSELLERS AND STATIONERS.

**SEGARS, Etc.**

**C. TATUM,**

WINE MERCHANT.

A POINTED INTIMIDATION THAT THE JURY HAVE BEEN TAMPERED WITH.

MR. BEACH'S OPENING.

Mr. Beach said the counsel for the defense had

complained that he was

not given time to

make his defense.

THE TRIAL HAS BEEN DEFENDED.



## THE COURTS.

**Comstock's Creditors Try a Flank Movement.**

**A Hundred Thousand Dollars of His Indebtedness Claimed to Be Void.**

**The Sisters of Loretto—Tempting with a Jury.**

**Judgments and New Suits.**

## CHICAGO.

## A FLANK MOVEMENT.

An interesting suit was begun yesterday in the United States Circuit Court by A. M. Wright, Palenick Buckow, Bernard Fowler, C. H. Wright, D. F. Fox, Morris H. Jones, Charles G. O'Boyle, J. H. Wright, J. J. Gilmore, H. W. Wilkins, Thomas White, and J. B. Durkin, creditors of Gardner F. Comstock, against the West National Bank of Chicago; B. H. Campbell, and Edward P. Comstock. The bill is filed on behalf of the complainants and all other creditors of Comstock and sets out that they filed a petition in bankruptcy a few days ago against Comstock. On the 21st day of May last Comstock, being indebted to the First National Bank of this city in the sum of \$22,000, and insolvent, as is alleged, gave the bank a warrant to confess judgment for the sum of \$20,000, with intent to give it a preference and to defeat and delay the effect and operation of the bankrupt law. The bill charged he had reasonable cause to believe that the act of the plaintiff in violation of the rules of the bank set out, nevertheless, on the 26th of May it entered up judgment by confession for the sum of \$20,127 against Comstock, and caused execution to be issued.

Previous to this time, and about the 5th of May, Comstock had given three other judgments notes, one for \$20,000, and two for \$10,000 each to the same bank, on which it also entered up judgment May 20, for the aggregate sum of \$40,000. The United States Circuit Court Executives have been tested on some of these judgments and levied on Comstock's interest in three elevators, their machinery, six, all the grain in the elevator, and the grain and the money paid thereon in the store of Comstock & Skinner, at Manteno; also, all his interest in about 1,000 bushels of timothy seed and what money was due thereon in a collection account to the amount of \$1,000. Comstock was also made on all Comstock's interest in an elevator located on railroad land at Mattoon, Cook County, together with his office furniture, and all his personal property, including about 1,000 bushels of oats and 1,000 bushels of corn, which was contained therein. Also on the same party's interest in a corn-cob containing about 50,000 bushels of corn and the grain and the money paid thereon in the store of Comstock, all situated at Ashton, Illinois County. Also on Comstock's interest in Blakesley & Company's elevator and the grain in it, two bay-horses, two hams and bacon, and all at Mattoon, Kankakee County. This property has all been advertised for sale under the executions.

The complainants also allege that the bank has levied on Comstock's interest in a building and that it has been garnishees proceedings to obtain the amount of the judgments. They further charge that on the 20th of May Comstock transferred to the bank his right of action, and his bill of lading of security, at the time of his insolvency, and that the bank knew of his insolvency at the time. And if the property is sold at foreclosure, it will be sacrificed for much less than its value.

Of Comstock's whole indebtedness to the bank \$10,000 is made up of discounts of notes, \$104,436.35 from overdrafts, and \$11,750 by the amount of his capital stock in the bank. It is claimed that \$14,426.35 is illegal and void, and cannot be enforced by the bank. The bank claims to hold collateral security for the indebtedness, and is converting the same for the amount of the debt, but complainants think the balance, whatever it may be, is part of the illegal loan.

The complainants therefore ask that the bank be restrained from proceeding to sell the property of Comstock which it may have; that this Ansures, when appointed, shall be allowed to prosecute the present suit; and that the indebtedness be set off against \$10,000 of Comstock's capital and reserve.

This suit is brought under the section of the National Banking law which forbids National Banks from loaning to any one an amount to exceed 10 per cent of his capital stock. As the loan to Comstock was \$24,426.35, while the law only allowed \$10,000, or 10 per cent on \$100,000, the difference is claimed to be illegal, and of course the suit is brought to restrain the bank from in this case reselling the same. Messrs. Hitchcock and Duperre appear for complainants.

## THE SISTERS OF LORETO.

In the case of the Sisters of Loreto vs. The Catholic Church of Chicago, the argument in the plea of not in corporation was concluded yesterday. Mr. Caulfield, for the defendant, said that, as far as he could learn, the cause of action did not arise at large on his view of the case. Judge Williams said he would overrule the plea, and if there were no proper cause to decide the case for the defendant. Thus, however, without the introduction of further evidence and ten days was therefore given in which to answer.

## ELSEWHERE.

**ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT.** Special Inquiry to the Chicago Tribune.

**Mr. FENWICK, Ill., June 9.—Court sat at 9:30 a.m. with a full bench.**

## BUILINGS.

Mr. Schmidt vs. Christian Schmidt agreed to a compromise, and the suit was dismissed.

In the case of George vs. The Chicago, Decatur & Vincennes Railroad Company, the demur to the bill of complaint was overruled, and the cause was remanded to the court of common pleas.

In the case of William Harris vs. Robert Green, who had been sued for damages for negligently maligned him in giving the plaintiff a hernia, as a remedy for dyspepsia, the jury failed to agree and were discharged.

Friday is the last day of service in the Circuit Courts.

Some time ago T. J. Sutherland filed a suit against Salabiey to enforce a lien for his professional services. Mr. Reivey, upon whom the suit was originally filed, was dismissed, and thus the cause was remanded to the court of common pleas.

In the case of Wm. H. Smith vs. A. J. Bell for \$100, H. T. Hobart, Receiver, sued A. J. Bell for \$100.

H. Hobart brought suit for \$100 against the plaintiff in error.

D. T. Groves began a suit in attachment against W. G. Allard, claiming \$4,636.

W. G. Allard, who had filed a counter-claim to the amount of \$1,000, and \$1,000 in damages, was held to be the plaintiff in error.

In the case of Wm. Hill began another for \$100,000 against the plaintiff in error.

A. H. Hurley, Receiver, sued A. J. Bell for \$100.

H. Hobart brought suit for \$100 against the plaintiff in error.

D. T. Groves began a suit in attachment against W. G. Allard, claiming \$4,636.

W. G. Allard, who had filed a counter-claim to the amount of \$1,000, and \$1,000 in damages, was held to be the plaintiff in error.

In the case of Wm. H. Smith vs. Robert Green, who had been sued for damages for negligently maligned him in giving the plaintiff a hernia, as a remedy for dyspepsia, the jury failed to agree and were discharged.

Friday is the last day of service in the Circuit Courts.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H. Marks vs. Wm. H. Smith, for \$100, was given up yesterday to the court of common pleas.

The suit of H

## TERMS OF THE TRIBUNE.

BASED ON SUBSCRIPTION PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.  
Postage Prepaid at this Office.  
Daily 1 year.....\$12.00 Weekly, 1 year.....\$ 1.00  
12 months.....\$ 6.00 Five copies.....\$ 1.00  
12 months.....\$ 3.00 The copies.....\$ 12.00

Part of a year at the same rate.

WANTED.—An active and enterprising man, town and village Specimen copies sent free.  
To prevent delay or mistake, be sure to give Post-Office address to find us easily.

Instructions to be made either draft, express, Post-Office order, or registered letters, at our cost.

## TERMS TO OUR SUBSCRIBERS.

Daily, delivered, \$1.00 per week; 25 cents weekly.  
Dated, delivered, \$1.00 per week; 25 cents weekly.  
Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,  
Corner Madison and Dearborn-sts., Chicago, Ill.

## TO-DAY'S AMUSEMENTS.

ADELPHI THEATRE—Deacons street, corner Marion-st.  
Variety Entertainment.

MUCKER'S THEATRE—Marion street, between Deacons and State. Engagement of H. D. Roberts  
Pantheon-Troupe. "Jack and Jill."

ACADEMY OF MUSIC—Halsted street, between Marion and Monroe. Engagement of Barry's Minstrels.  
"We a Tale of the Raven."

MOLEY'S THEATRE—Randolph street, between Marion and Monroe. Engagement of John Thompson.  
"The Sheik."

## SOCIETY MEETINGS.

WASHINGTON CHAPTER, No. 6, R. A. M.—Speci-  
al Convocation this (Friday) afternoon at 4, and evening  
at 7, for work on the R. A. M. degree. Address  
the Rev. W. H. P. Trowbridge, Secy.

## The Chicago Tribune.

Thursday Morning, June 10, 1875.

Treasury Secretary is offered a choice of  
mediums for the recovery of the stolen pack-  
age of \$47,000. Various clairvoyants, trance-  
artists, and like have proposed to furnish  
information from the spirit-world which shall  
lead to the restoration of the plunder, but  
Gen. Sprague, being prejudiced against Illinois  
spirits of all kinds, prefers to work with flesh-  
and-blood detectives.

Silver is getting so cheap that no family  
need be without it. The Government has re-  
cently purchased a large amount of bullion  
in Carson City at the cheapest rate ever  
known in the country—\$1.07 per ounce. At  
this figure, it is calculated that by next fall  
the Government will have purchased and  
coined a sufficient quantity to admit of the  
retirement of fractional currency, and the  
substitution of silver in its place.

At yesterday's session of the National  
Sportsmen's Convention in Cleveland, Mr.  
John V. Le Moine, of Chicago, was honored  
by being elected President of the Association,  
which, in return, did itself credit in the selec-  
tion of a fine type of the gentleman and  
sportsman. Postmaster-General JEWELL was  
present yesterday, and addressed the Convention,  
saying that he was heartily in sympathy  
with the purposes and aims of the Association,  
and promising that, upon his retirement  
from public life, he would be "one of them"  
again.

Tourists returning from Europe will have  
cause to regret the general falling off in cu-  
toms receipts. Secretary BARROW has an  
unpleasant habit of "wanting to know, you  
know" and among the matters to be inquired  
into more rigidly will be the trunks of trav-  
elers coming ashore. Stricter regulations are  
to be enjoined in this regard upon Custom-  
House officials, whose idea of "necessary"  
wearing-apparel, jewelry, etc., may be ex-  
pected to undergo the process of contraction,  
and a vast amount of genteel smuggling will  
thus be prevented.

A chemical analysis of the Chicago Custom-  
House stone, just completed in Washington  
by a chemist beyond the influence of Mur-  
kowitz's persuasive powers, proves the stone to  
be lacking in some of the qualities required  
in the specifications, inasmuch as it con-  
tains insoluble which yield to the action of  
frost; hence the stone so numerously ob-  
served in the walls in Chicago. It is  
now considered certain that the quality  
of the stone as well as the condition and  
adequacy of the foundations will enter into  
the investigations of the Examining Commis-  
sion now in this city, and in Washington it is  
thought that the present stone contract will  
be annulled, the walls and foundations razed,  
and the building reconstructed upon a new  
plan and with different material.

Collector WADSWORTH has at last seen  
the fully of expecting a cobbler to successfully  
raise a new broom in the wake of a vigorous  
sweeper, and has wisely chosen to step  
out rather than be brushed out. His resigna-  
tion reached Washington yesterday after-  
noon, accompanied by a long letter of expla-  
nation and justification. The resignation is  
better late than never, though it is ten days  
ago would have been more to Mr.  
Wadsworth's credit and advantage, as the  
policy of charitable silence would then have  
been practicable, instead of the unfavorable  
comment provoked by resistance and delay.  
A rumor is current in Washington that Gen.  
Wadsworth will not accept the Collectorship,  
but, "for the good of the service," it is to be  
hoped the report is unfounded.

The Episcopal houses blow hot and cold.  
Iowa is in a terrible stew, and the new Dioc-  
esis of Fond du Lac enjoys the extreme of  
facility. Both have elected Bishops—the  
Rev. Dr. SAWYER having been chosen  
yesterday by Fond du Lac, and Dr. ELLIOTT  
some days ago in Iowa. The latter diocese  
is said to be in a state of open rebellion.  
It was charged that devices and stratagems  
quite unscrupulous were employed to secure  
Dr. Elliott's election, and now it is  
freely asserted that, in the event of the  
Standing Committee's consenting to his  
consecration, he will not be received  
by over one-half of the Iowa parishes,  
and that his installation as Bishop  
will greatly injure the Church in the Diocese.  
In Fond du Lac a more Christian spirit pre-  
vailed, and the minority, finding themselves  
basically, geographically and hereditarily helped  
to make the vote unanimous, sang the  
Glory with as much unction as though there  
had been no contest. Dr. SAWYER has  
charge of a parish in Lexington, Ky., and  
will be warmly welcomed as his new episco-  
pal.

The Chicago produce markets were steeper  
yesterday. Meat pork was quiet and 50  
per cent higher, closing at \$10.50 cash, and  
\$15.50 for July. Lard was in moderate  
request, and to per 100 lbs lower, closing at  
\$13.50 cash, and \$18.50 for July. Meats  
were more active and firm, at 75¢ per  
pound. Highwines were active and  
firm, at \$1.77 per gallon. Lake freight was  
moderately active and easier. Flour was  
quiet and unchanged. Wheat was moderately  
active, the higher, closing at 72¢ per bushel,  
and 74¢ per bushel. Corn was up 10 cents.

and 1½¢ higher, closing tame at 67¢ per  
cash, and 69¢ for July. Oats were firm, closing  
at 82¢ cash and 85¢ for July. Rye was quiet,  
at 86¢ cash, and 75¢ for September. Barley  
was in better request and firmer, closing at  
\$1.03 and 1.04 for September. Hogs were ac-  
tive and a shade higher; sales principally at  
\$7.00 and 7.20. Cattle closed weak, with a  
downward tendency. Sheep were easy.

Mr. EDWARD SPANNER, the public-spirited  
Cincinnatian who recently donated \$125,-  
000 to that city for the purpose of building a  
music hall, has now increased his donation  
with \$50,000 more. Cincinnati is rejoicing  
over the fact, and has a right to. It is rare  
that such instances of local pride and of  
public spirit are found. In this respect, Chi-  
cago is far behind Cincinnati, but then Chi-  
cago has more wealth than we have, more  
leisure, and more age. We have but a very  
few men who can afford to do this kind of a  
thing, but those who can ought to be about it,  
which reminds us that Mr. WADSWORTH  
has not yet given the county those 80 acres  
for park purposes which we understand he  
contemplates doing.

In his argument yesterday in the BURRUS  
case, Mr. BEACH, who closes for the plaintiff,  
created an excitement by plainly and in  
a pointed manner intimating that bribery of  
the jury had been attempted, and possibly  
consummated, by the friends of the defendant.  
This was more than the mere bombast of an advocate desper-  
ately anxious to serve his client, and in  
saying what he did Mr. BEACH evidently  
expressed what his own convictions, based  
upon circumstances and probabilities which  
he had often besides himself to the same  
conclusion. With the world of wealth cast  
at Mr. BURRUS's feet since the scandal was  
made public, it would not be strange if  
overzealous partisans, anxious to guard  
against the possibility of an unfavorable  
verdict, had contrived to bring to bear a money pressure upon the  
jury. No one will be willing to believe that  
such a step has been taken with  
Mr. BURRUS's connivance or consent; but,  
as well as jurors are human and friends are  
super-solicitous, Mr. BEACH's significant refer-  
ence to the gold of Midas will not be uni-  
versally received in a Pickwickian sense;  
there will be plenty of people who think the  
plaintiff's counsel meant just what he said.

**DOGMACTIC AND SECULAR EDUCATION.**  
We have already directed attention to the  
fact that the extreme Catholics are not satis-  
fied with the exclusion of the Bible from the  
public schools. In Ohio, where this concession  
of the two Democratic clubs which have been  
formed in this city—the Jeffersonians and the  
Cosmopolitans.

These clubs recognize, and in writing declare,  
the broad line between the swallow-tail  
coat and clean shirt on the one side, and on  
the other the short hair, hard-fisted, and  
friendly Democracy of Chicago. The gentle-  
men with immaculate lines, like Judge  
HENRY G. MILLER, Senator TRUMBULL,  
F. H. KALE, JOHN HINE, and MURKOWSKI  
W. FULLER, call themselves Jeffersonians,  
and, in order to keep their club exclu-  
sive and select, have fixed an admis-  
sion fee at \$1, which will effectively exclude  
all the lower class of Democrats who are not  
in office. This club is, and unquestionably  
will continue to be, eminently respectable; it  
will embrace the aristocracy and wealth of  
the party, and perhaps the intellectual and  
thinking Democrats. The platform of the  
club (printed in *The Taxman* yesterday) is a  
very able presentation of sound principles,  
and might safely be adopted by the Repub-  
lican party in every State of the Union. Through  
a little more elaborate, it strongly resembles  
the declaration of the recent Republican  
Convention in Ohio. It ignores the senseless  
jargon about State Sovereignty, recog-  
nizes the African as a citizen, favors sound  
money, a revenue tariff, and honesty, efficiency,  
and economy in Government, and all good  
Republican doctrines.

The other club bears the title of Cosmo-  
politans. Perhaps the relative popularity of  
the two clubs was best evidenced by the pro-  
ceedings at their organization. The Jeffe-  
sonians, few in number, met in a small back  
room, with locked doors, in the Sherman  
House. The Cosmopolitans distributed far  
and wide cards printed in gold upon tinted  
paper, "cordially inviting" the "great unwashed"  
to attend. The Jeffersonians had a dry  
and dull, but highly respectable, confer-  
ence, and select, have fixed an admission  
fee at \$1, which will effectively exclude  
all the lower class of Democrats who are not  
in office. This club is, and unquestionably  
will continue to be, eminently respectable; it  
will embrace the aristocracy and wealth of  
the party, and perhaps the intellectual and  
thinking Democrats. The platform of the  
club (printed in *The Taxman* yesterday) is a  
very able presentation of sound principles,  
and might safely be adopted by the Repub-  
lican party in every State of the Union. Through  
a little more elaborate, it strongly resembles  
the declaration of the recent Republican  
Convention in Ohio. It ignores the senseless  
jargon about State Sovereignty, recog-  
nizes the African as a citizen, favors sound  
money, a revenue tariff, and honesty, efficiency,  
and economy in Government, and all good  
Republican doctrines.

The other club bears the title of Cosmo-  
politans. Perhaps the relative popularity of  
the two clubs was best evidenced by the pro-  
ceedings at their organization. The Jeffe-  
sonians, few in number, met in a small back  
room, with locked doors, in the Sherman  
House. The Cosmopolitans distributed far  
and wide cards printed in gold upon tinted  
paper, "cordially inviting" the "great unwashed"  
to attend. The Jeffersonians had a dry  
and dull, but highly respectable, confer-  
ence, and select, have fixed an admission  
fee at \$1, which will effectively exclude  
all the lower class of Democrats who are not  
in office. This club is, and unquestionably  
will continue to be, eminently respectable; it  
will embrace the aristocracy and wealth of  
the party, and perhaps the intellectual and  
thinking Democrats. The platform of the  
club (printed in *The Taxman* yesterday) is a  
very able presentation of sound principles,  
and might safely be adopted by the Repub-  
lican party in every State of the Union. Through  
a little more elaborate, it strongly resembles  
the declaration of the recent Republican  
Convention in Ohio. It ignores the senseless  
jargon about State Sovereignty, recog-  
nizes the African as a citizen, favors sound  
money, a revenue tariff, and honesty, efficiency,  
and economy in Government, and all good  
Republican doctrines.

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."  
The Catholic Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very much mistaken.  
These are grave and serious charges which  
an organ of the Catholic Church thus reck-  
lessly makes, and we can only regard them as  
indirect utterances of the Church authorities,  
put forth with the express purpose of inciting  
the prejudices of the Catholic laity still further  
against the public schools. The exclusive  
privileges of the Catholic Church still re-  
main intact, and we can only regard them as  
an attempt to sustain the old maxim, "If you  
can't beat 'em, join 'em." The Catholic  
Church has done its best to sustain the  
old maxim, "If you can't beat 'em, join 'em."

The Catholic Telegraph or the priests  
whom it represents expect to influence the  
American people by language to abandon  
their school system—one of the main pro-  
perties of our form of Government—they will  
probably find themselves very







